EST Journal of Educational Science and Technology
ACCREDITATED "Rank 2" by Kemenristekdikti No. 28/E/KP/2019
ISSN: 2477-3840 (Online)
ISSN: 2460-1497 (Print)
Published by: Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Makassar

#15296 Summary

SUMMARY REVIEW EDITING

Submission

Authors	Chandra Ainur Rizki, I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto, Bambang Suprianto
Title	The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students
Original file	15296-37913-1-SM.DOC 2020-10-10
Supp. files	None
Submitter	Dr I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto
Date submitted	October 10, 2020 - 07:14 PM
Section	Articles
Editor	Muhammad Bakhtiar
Abstract Views	127

Status

Status	Published Volume 6 Number 3 December 2020
Initiated	2020-12-29
Last modified	2021-02-04

Submission Metadata

Authors

Name	Chandra Ainur Rizki
Affiliation	Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Country	Indonesia
Bio Statement	—
Name	I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto
ORCID iD	http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0716-7682
Affiliation	Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Country	Indonesia
Bio Statement	Electrical Engineering Department of Universitas Negeri Surabaya

Principal contact for editorial correspondence.

Name	Bambang Suprianto
Affiliation	Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Country	Indonesia
Bio Statement	—

Title and Abstract

Title: The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students

Abstract

This study aims 1) to determine the validity and reliability of the robot transporter learning media instrument, 2) to know the differences in the student learning outcomes between the use of robot transporter and PowerPoint as learning media, and 3) to know how much the learning gain score from the use of these two media. A pre-test-post-test comparison group design type was used. A sample of 60 vocational students participated in two groups, the experimental group (N = 30) and the control group (N = 30). The results show that the robot transporter learning media is categorized as valid and reliable. The t-test result is 12.589 greater than the t table, namely 2.3596 with a significance of 0.05. Therefore, there is a difference in student learning outcomes. The mean of learning gain score on the robot transporter is 0.694, higher than the mean of learning gain score on the PowerPoint which is 0.289. The use of robot transporter as learning media can help students to be more interactively involved in learning. This is indicated by the students' post-test scores and the value of the gain learning in the experimental class was higher than the control class.

Indexing

Keywords	Robot transporter; Gain learning; Micro controller; Learning outcome; Learning media
Language	en

Online Submission
Focus And Scope
Editorial Teams
Reviewer Teams
Publication Ethics
Author Guideline
Peer Review Process
Author Fee
Abstracting and Indexing
Template
Copyright Notice
Statistics
Editorial Teams
Article In Press 2021

ACCREDITATED

Accreditated "Rank 2"
Started from:
Vol 5 No 1, 2019
Until: Vol 9 No 1, 2023.
Decree No. 28/E/KPT/2019,
September 26, 2019.
Download

TEMPLATE

DOC Article template

USER

You are logged in as...
igpabc

- My Journals
- My Profile
- Log Out

Supporting Agencies

Agencies Ministry of Education and Culture

References

References

Athanasiou, L., Mikropoulos, T. A., & Mavridis, D. (2019). Robotics Interventions for Improving Educational Outcomes - A Meta-analysis (pp. 91–102). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20954-4_7

Bacca-Cortes, B., Florián-Gaviria, B., García, S., & Rueda, S. (2017). Platform development for teaching basic programming using mobile robots. Revista Facultad de Ingeniería, 26(45). https://doi.org/10.19053/01211129.v26.n45.2017.6054

Barak, M., & Assal, M. (2018). Robotics and STEM learning: students' achievements in assignments according to the P3 Task Taxonomy—practice, problem solving, and projects. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 28(1), 121–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-016-9385-9

Breuch, B., & Fislake, M. (2019). Bringing Educational Robotics into the Classroom (pp. 101–112). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-97085-1_11

Chou, P.-N. (2018). Skill Development and Knowledge Acquisition Cultivated by Maker Education: Evidence from Arduino-based Educational Robotics. EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 14(10). https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/93483

Crenshaw, T. L. A. (2013). Using Robots and Contract Learning to Teach Cyber-Physical Systems to Undergraduates. IEEE Transactions on Education, 56(1), 116–120. https://doi.org/10.1109/TE.2012.2217967

Gadaleta, M., Pellicciari, M., & Berselli, G. (2019). Optimization of the energy consumption of industrial robots for automatic code generation. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 57, 452–464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2018.12.020

Gliner, J. A., Morgan, G. A., & Harmon, R. J. (2003). Pretest-Posttest Comparison Group Designs: Analysis and Interpretation. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 42(4), 500–503.

Gorakhnath, I. (2018). Educational Robotics in Teaching Learning Process. Online International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 7(2), 161–168.

Ha, Q. P., Yen, L., & Balaguer, C. (2019). Robotic autonomous systems for earthmoving in military applications. Automation in Construction, 107, 102934. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2019.102934

Hake, R. (2002). Lessons from the Physics Education Reform Effort. Conservation Ecology, 5(2), art28. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-00286-050228

Karaahmetoğlu, K., & Korkmaz, Ö. (2019). The effect of project-based arduino educational robot applications on students' computational thinking skills and their perception of Basic Stem skill levels. Participatory Educational Research, 6(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.17275/per.19.8.6.2

Kim, C., Kim, D., Yuan, J., Hill, R. B., Doshi, P., & Thai, C. N. (2015). Robotics to promote elementary education pre-service teachers' STEM engagement, learning, and teaching. Computers & Education, 91, 14–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.08.005

Liao, T.-K., Liao, C.-W., Shih, C.-L., & Lin, C.-F. (2016). An Experimental Study of Integrating LEGO Robots Instruction into the "Engine Principles and Practice" Curriculum at Automobile Departments in Vocational Schools. International Journal of Information and Education Technology, 6(10), 777–781. https://doi.org/10.7763/IJIET.2016.V6.791

Noguchi, T., Kajiwara, H., Chida, K., & Inamori, S. (2017). Development of a Programming Teaching-Aid Robot with Intuitive Motion Instruction Set. Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics, 29(6), 980–991. https://doi.org/10.20965/jrm.2017.p0980

Ohnishi, Y., Honda, K., Nishioka, R., Mori, S., & Kawada, K. (2017). Robotics Programming Learning for Elementary and Junior High School Students. Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics, 29(6), 992–998. https://doi.org/10.20965/jrm.2017.p0992

Patino, K. P., Diego, B. C., Rodilla, V. M., Conde, M. J. R., & Rodriguez-Aragon, J. F. (2014). Using Robotics as a Learning Tool in Latin America and Spain. IEEE Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologias Del Aprendizaje, 9(4), 144–150. https://doi.org/10.1109/RITA.2014.2363009

Ratumanan, T., & Laurens, T. (2011). Penilaian Hasil Belajar pada. Unesa University Press.

Zhang, Y.-D., Zhang, Y., Lv, Y.-D., Hou, X.-X., Liu, F.-Y., Jia, W.-J., Yang, M.-M., Phillips, P., & Wang, S.-H. (2017). Alcoholism detection by medical robots based on Hu moment invariants and predator–prey adaptive-inertia chaotic particle swarm optimization. Computers & Electrical Engineering, 63, 126–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2017.04.009

Editorial Office

Journal of Educational Science and Technology
Graduate Program Universitas Negeri Makassar

Jalan Bonto Langkasa Gunungsari Baru Makassar, 90222 Kampus PPs UNM Makassar
Gedung AD Ruang 406 Lt 4, Indonesia

jurnalestunm@gmail.com | est.journal@unm.ac.id

https://ojs.unm.ac.id/JEST/index

085299898201 (WA)

EST Index by:

Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor
2020-11-12 03:05 PM

Subject: [EST] Editor Decision DELETE

Dr I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST), "The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students".

Our decision is to: Revisions Required

Muhammad Ilham Bakhtiar
Universitas Negeri Makassar
ID SCOPUS: 57202803387
Phone 085299898201
ilhambakhtiar@unm.ac.id

_____
Journal of Educational Science and Technology
http://ojs.unm.ac.id/index.php/JEST

Editor
2020-11-12 03:07 PM

Subject: [EST] Editor Decision DELETE

Dr I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST), "The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students".

Our decision is to:

Muhammad Ilham Bakhtiar
Universitas Negeri Makassar
ID SCOPUS: 57202803387
Phone 085299898201
ilhambakhtiar@unm.ac.id

_____
Journal of Educational Science and Technology
http://ojs.unm.ac.id/index.php/JEST

Editor
2020-11-30 11:11 PM

Subject: [EST] Editor Decision DELETE

Dr I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST), "The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students".

Our decision is to: Revisions Required II

Muhammad Ilham Bakhtiar
Universitas Negeri Makassar
ID SCOPUS: 57202803387
Phone 085299898201
ilhambakhtiar@unm.ac.id

_____
Journal of Educational Science and Technology
http://ojs.unm.ac.id/index.php/JEST

Editor
2020-12-01 10:18 PM

Subject: [EST] Editor Decision DELETE

Dr I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST), "The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Muhammad Ilham Bakhtiar
Universitas Negeri Makassar
ID SCOPUS: 57202803387
Phone 085299898201
ilhambakhtiar@unm.ac.id

_____
Journal of Educational Science and Technology
http://ojs.unm.ac.id/index.php/JEST

Close

I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto <asto@unesa.ac.id>

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

1 message

Est Unm <jurnalestunm@gmail.com> Tue, Dec 1, 2020 at 9:20 PM
To: I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto <asto@unesa.ac.id>

Dr I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST), "The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Muhammad Ilham Bakhtiar
Universitas Negeri Makassar
ID SCOPUS: 57202803387
Phone 085299898201
ilhambakhtiar@unm.ac.id

--
Editor EST UNM
Link: http://ojs.unm.ac.id/JEST
Universitas Negeri Makassar
Cp. 085299898201
Group WA EST: http://bit.ly/GroupEST
Email: jurnalestunm@gmail.com

20. LoA and Invoice_Chandra Ainur Rizki dkk.pdf
487K

I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto <asto@unesa.ac.id>

Publication Fee for ID paper 15296

1 message

I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto <asto@unesa.ac.id> Wed, Dec 2, 2020 at 9:13 AM
To: jurnalestunm@gmail.com, est.journal@unm.ac.id

Yth editor Journal of Educational Science and Technology

Berikut saya lampirka bukti pembayaran untuk Publication Fee for ID paper 15296

Dr. I.G.P. Asto Buditjahjanto, S.T.,M.T.
Faculty of Engineering
The State University of Surabaya
(Universitas Negeri Surabaya)
Indonesia

fee publication Journal EST.jpeg
29K

Journal of Educational Science and Technology
ISSN: 2477-3840 (Online)
ISSN: 2460-1497 (Print)
ACCREDITATED "Rank 2" by Kemenristekdikti No. 28/E/KP/2019
Published by: Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Makassar

Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Makassar
Jalan Bontolangkasa Gedung PPs UNM, AD Ruang 406 LT.4. Makassar
Email: est.journal@unm.ac.id Laman: http://ojs.unm.ac.id/index.php/JEST

LOA (Letter of Acceptance)

No:0118/est.Vol6.i3 /UN36.8/PB/2020

Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST) in forms that the below article:

ID Article	**: 15296**
Title	: **The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students**
Authors	: **Chandra Ainur Rizki[1], I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto[2*], Bambang Suprianto[3]**
Afiliation	: Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia
Email	: [2]asto@unesa.ac.id
DOI	: https://doi.org/10.26858/est

Has fulfilled the prerequisites to be published in the Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST) Vol. 6, No. 3, December 2020. Through this letter, the authors still retain the terms of copyright with open access rights under license CC BY-NC-4.0 ©2020–By author (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

To avoid double publication and scientific publication ethic infringement, we hope that the manuscript is not sent or published by the other publishers.

Similarly, this letter was delivered, thank you for your kind attention.

Makassar, 01 December 2020
Editor In Chief,

Muh. Ilham Bakhtiar

Indexed by:

Journal of Educational Science and Technology
ACCREDITATED "Rank 2" by Kemenristekdikti No. 28/E/KP/2019
ISSN: 2477-3840 (Online)
ISSN: 2460-1497 (Print)
Published by: Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Makassar

Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Makassar
Jalan Bontolangkasa Gedung PPs UNM, AD Ruang 406 LT.4. Makassar
Email: est.journal@unm.ac.id Laman: http://ojs.unm.ac.id/index.php/JEST

INVOICE EST UNM

No: 0118/est.Vol6.i3 /UN36.8/PB/2020

Payment of Article Publication Fee at Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST), Published by Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia

Article:

ID Article	**: 15296**
Title	: **The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students**
Authors	: **Chandra Ainur Rizki[1], I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto[2*], Bambang Suprianto[3]**
Afiliation	: Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia
Email	: [2]asto@unesa.ac.id
DOI	: https://doi.org/10.26858/est

Fee Publication : 1.700.000

Makassar, 01 December 2020

Bank Transfer
Bank Mandiri Cab IKIP/UNM
#Number Bank Rekening: **1740000386649**
#Recipient: **Muh. Ilham Bakhtiar**
Kcp Makassar UN Makassar
Swift Code: bmriidja

Note:
Payment Proof sent to email: jurnalestunm@gmail.com / est.journal@unm.ac.id
At most 7 days after LOA is received

Indexed by:

EST Journal of Educational Science and Technology
ACCREDITATED "Rank 2" by Kemenristekdikti No. 28/E/KP/2019
ISSN: 2477-3840 (Online)
ISSN: 2460-1497 (Print)
Published by: Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Makassar

#15296 Review

Submission

Authors	Chandra Ainur Rizki, I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto, Bambang Suprianto
Title	The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students
Section	Articles
Editor	Muhammad Bakhtiar

Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version	15296-37914-1-RV.DOC 2020-10-10
Initiated	2020-11-12
Last modified	2020-11-12
Uploaded file	Reviewer A 15296-39459-1-RV.DOC 2020-11-12

Editor Decision

Decision	Accept Submission 2020-12-01
Notify Editor	Editor/Author Email Record 2020-12-01
Editor Version	15296-40319-1-ED.DOC 2020-11-30
Author Version	15296-40215-2-ED.DOC 2020-12-01 DELETE
Upload Author Version	Choose File No file chosen Upload

Editorial Office

Journal of Educational Science and Technology
Graduate Program Universitas Negeri Makassar

Jalan Bonto Langkasa Gunungsari Baru Makassar, 90222 Kampus PPs UNM Makassar
Gedung AD Ruang 406 Lt 4, Indonesia

jurnalestunm@gmail.com | est.journal@unm.ac.id

https://ojs.unm.ac.id/JEST/index

085299898201 (WA)

EST Index by:

TOOLS

turnitin

gramma

Reference Manager

MEND

MEMBERS

We are Crossref Member

VISITOR:

View My Stats

Web Analytics Made Easy - StatCounter

View My Stats EST UNM

AUTHOR

Submissions

- Active (0)
- Archive (1)
- New Submission

Journal of Educational Science and Technology
Volume,... Nomor .... Bulan 2017 Hal. 1- 9
p-ISSN:2460-1497 dan e-ISSN: 2477-3840

The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students

(***Received***: tgl-bln-thn; ***Reviewed:*** tgl-bln-thn; ***Revised:*** tgl-bln-thn; ***Accepted:*** tgl-bln-thn; ***Published:*** tgl-bln-thn)

ABSTRACT

This study aims 1) to determine the validity and reliability of the transporter robot learning media instrument, 2) to know the differences in student learning outcomes between the use of transporter robot and PowerPoint as learning media, and 3) to know how much the learning gain score from the use of these two media. The methods of this research include: validity and reliability testing methods are used to measure the validity and reliability of the transporter robot learning media instrument. The t-test method is to test the hypothesis, whether there is a difference in student learning outcomes between the transporter robot class and the PowerPoint class. The method of learning gain score is to find out how much the mean of learning gain score of students who uses the two learning media. The results show that the transporter robot learning media is categorized as valid and reliable. The t-test result is 12,589 greater than the t table, namely 2.3596 at a significance of 0.05. Therefore, there is a difference in student learning outcomes. The mean of gain learning score on the transporter robot is 0.694, higher than the mean of learning gain score on the PowerPoint which is 0.289.

Keywords*: Robot transporter; Gain learning; Microcontroller; Learning outcome; Learning media*

Comment [H1]: What is the name of this research method? how many samples were involved and how to determine the samples

Add a conclusion that justifies the novelty of the research results

a maximum of 200 words abstract

INTRODUCTION

Robot use has been widely used in many aspects, such as in the military (Ha, Yen, & Balaguer, 2019), industry (Gadaleta, Pellicciari, & Berselli, 2019), and medical (Zhang, et al., 2017). Likewise in the field of education, robots have also been widely used to help students in the teaching and learning process. Robots can be used as a learning medium for the learning process in the classroom. The use of robots in the learning process can help improve understanding of learning material (Breuch & Fislake, 2019). Robots have been widely applied in the field of education by assisting in learning materials such as STEM (Kim, et al., 2015), cyber-physical systems (Crenshaw, 2013), programming (Yoshihiro, Honda, Nishioka, Mori, & Kawada, 2017). Robots used in education have advantages; for example, robots can be implemented in learning models such as problem-based or project-based learning models.

The use of robots as a learning medium in these learning models is because robots have the flexibility to be implemented in the learning process (Barak & Assal, 2016).

SMK Al Khairiyah, a vocational high school in Bangkalan - Indonesia has problems with Microcontroller learning. Students have a lot of difficulties understanding the microcontroller material so that it affects student learning outcomes. One of the complaints from students is the lack of learning media that can support microcontroller subjects. Besides, learning that has been taking place is only presented in one direction without involving students in learning activities directly, students are not actively involved in interacting with learning media. Therefore, the robot used as a learning medium is expected to be able to help improve students' understanding of the microcontroller material. This is supported by research (Gorakhnath & Padmanabhan, 2017) which states that the use of robots as a learning medium can help students be active in learning because students can be directly involved with the media. Robots can be used in education because they can improve learning outcomes (Athanasiou, Mikropoulos, Tassos, & Mavridis, 2018). As it is known that the Basic Competitions to be able to understand Microcontroller subjects are as follows to make simple application programs and to demonstrate the program. Therefore, the robot is very suitable to be used as a learning medium. This is because a robot is mostly composed of electronic devices such as microcontrollers and to control the movement of the robot, programming via the microcontroller is required. Therefore, using a robot can help students to be directly involved in programming so that they can directly apply the material from the microcontroller (Noguchi, Kajiwara, Chida, & Inamori, 2017). The selection of a robot as a learning medium for microcontroller material is considered appropriate. The robot can be used as learning media in the learning material of engineering or technology (Patiño, Diego, Rodilla, Conde, & Rodríguez-Aragón, 2014). This is supported by (Bacca-Cortés, Florián-Gaviria, García, & Rueda, 2017) which states that the use of Robot media is an alternative that needs to be developed to teach students to learn computer programming.

This research examines the use of robotic learning media in microcontroller subjects. The novelty of this research is in the form of developing testing instruments (pre-test and post-test) which are based on robot transporter as learning media that are following the needs of students in studying microprocessors in vocational high school, measuring how much gain learning of students that using robot transporter as learning media and analyzing student learning outcome that using robot transporter learning media. From the description above, this study aims 1) to find out the validity and the reliability of testing instruments based on robotic learning media, 2) to compare student learning outcomes using robot learning media whether it is better than students using learning media using PowerPoint 3) to find out how much gain learning from the use of the two media.

Comment [H2]: The novelty of this research is the development of the testing instrument, what makes it different from previous research? and what is the impact on science

METHOD

This research used an experimental design with a pre-test-post-test control group design type. Pre-test – post-test Control Group Design is done by comparing the conditions before and after using a learning media (before-after) or by comparing it with groups that continue to use conventional learning (Sugiyono, 2013). This research used an experimental group, namely a class that used the transporter robot learning media, and the control group namely a class that used PowerPoint learning media.

Research Subject

The research subjects were the students of SMK Al Kholiliyah, a vocational high school in Bangkalan Indonesia. The experimental class consisted of 30 students and the control class consisted of 30 students.

Research Procedure

The initial procedure was carried out by carrying out the validity test and the reliability test of the research instrument before data collection was carried out in the classroom. By using the Pretest-Posttest Control Group Design method, the research procedure is to form two classes, namely the experimental class, and the control class. In both classes, a pretest was carried out to measure students' initial cognitive learning outcomes. The learning model carried out for

these two classes was to use the direct learning model. In the next procedure was in the experimental class an intervention is carried out by teaching the microcontroller material using the transporter robot learning media, while the control class is carried out by the intervention by teaching the microcontroller material using the PowerPoint learning media. After completing the different interventions carried out, the next procedure is to do a posttest to determine the student's cognitive learning outcomes. The final stage is to analyze student learning outcomes and how big the learning gain score is obtained from the two classes.

Materials and instruments

This research uses robot learning media with the type of transporter robot. This transporter robot consists of several parts, including Android Smartphone, DC Source, ATMega Microcontroller, Bluetooth Module, and servo motor. Figure 1 shows the constituent components of the transporter robot. ATMega microcontroller is the main part that can be used to study microcontroller subjects.



Figure 1. Supporting components for the Transporter Robot

Figure 2 shows a transporter robot that has been assembled from its constituent components. Students learn to program the ATMega8 Microcontroller so that the transporter robot can communicate or connect between Android Smartphone and Bluetooth so that the transporter robot can be moved manually via an Android Smartphone.

Research instruments include a) Instrument Validity and Reliability Test, b) multiple-choice questions that have been validated by experts for pre-test and post-test.



Figure 2. The performance of the robot transporter

Data Collection Technique

The data collection technique used in this study was to use a questionnaire instrument and pre-test and post-test questions in the form of multiple-choice test questions that have been validated by experts. The test was carried out twice, namely before learning (pre-test) and after learning (post-test). The pre-test is used to determine students' initial understanding of learning microcontroller programming. The post-test is used to determine the students' final understanding of microcontroller programming.

The control class and experimental class were given the same test treatment namely pretest and posttest questions. Then the results of the pretest and posttest were compared between the control and experimental classes using the t-test analysis. The t-test was used to determine the learning outcomes using the robot transporter learning media, whether there is any difference with the learning outcomes using PowerPoint learning media. Furthermore, the results of the pretest and posttest can be used to calculate the gain learning score.

Data analysis

Question Instrument Validity

A question is declared valid if in a calculation with a significance level (α), the value of $r_{count} > r_{table}$ then the question is declared valid, whereas if the value of $r_{count} < r_{table}$ then the question is declared invalid.

Reliability

Reliability refers to the understanding that an instrument can be trusted to be used as a data collection tool if the instrument is good. This study uses the reliability criteria which refer to

Comment [H3]: it is advisable to refer to international research methodologies

How to choose a sample

the reliability criteria used by (Ratumanan & Laurens, 2011). The table below shows the instrument reliability criteria.

Table 1. The instrument reliability criteria

Reliability Coefficient	Criteria
0,80 ≤ r	High Reliability
0,40 ≤ r < 0,80	Intermediate Reliability
r < 0,80	Low Reliability

T-test

The learning outcomes obtained through the post-test in the experimental class and control class were carried out by the T-test to answer the hypothesis, whether there is any difference in student learning outcomes using transporter robot learning media toward student learning outcomes using PowerPoint learning media.

Gain Learning Score

Hake (2001) introduced the mean gain that denoted <gain> for a class of students who were given a pretest and a post-test. The formula of the mean gain is as follow:

<gain> = (mean post-test % – mean pre-test %) / (100% - mean pre-test %)

For individual gain, (McGowen & Gary, 2014) used the formula as follow:

gain = (final-test% - initial-test%)/(100% - initial-test%)

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Cognitive Item Validity Test Results

The validity test of this item is carried out on the questions for the pretest and posttest which functions to determine the success of using the transporter robot learning media in microcontroller subjects. This validity test was conducted on a group of 30 students who had passed the microcontroller programming subject. Cognitive items for microcontroller lessons are 40 items. The results of the validity of the items can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. The Cognitive Item Validity Test Results

No Item	r_{count}	r_{table}	Category
1	0,741	0,361	Valid
2	0,906	0,361	Valid
3	0,847	0,361	Valid
4	0,847	0,361	Valid
5	0,724	0,361	Valid
6	0,906	0,361	Valid
7	0,724	0,361	Valid
8	0,906	0,361	Valid
9	0,906	0,361	Valid
10	0,724	0,361	Valid
11	0,765	0,361	Valid
12	0,906	0,361	Valid
13	0,724	0,361	Valid
14	0,906	0,361	Valid
15	0,906	0,361	Valid
16	0,724	0,361	Valid
17	0,906	0,361	Valid
18	0,765	0,361	Valid
19	0,724	0,361	Valid
20	0,432	0,361	Valid
21	0,496	0,361	Valid
22	0,906	0,361	Valid
23	0,906	0,361	Valid
24	0,724	0,361	Valid
25	0,724	0,361	Valid
26	0,421	0,361	Valid
27	0,906	0,361	Valid
28	0,906	0,361	Valid
29	0,765	0,361	Valid
30	0,906	0,361	Valid
31	0,724	0,361	Valid
32	0,853	0,361	Valid

No Item	r_{count}	r_{table}	Category
33	0,853	0,361	Valid
34	0,480	0,361	Valid
35	0,724	0,361	Valid
36	0,853	0,361	Valid
37	0,724	0,361	Valid
38	0,514	0,361	Valid
39	0,853	0,361	Valid
40	0,906	0,361	Valid

1 qq

The Cognitive Question Item Reliability Test Results

A reliability test is a test conducted to measure the level of consistency of the score used when the test is repeated. The results of the instrument reliability test are shown in Table 3 as follows:

Table 3. Cognitive Question Item Reliability Test Results

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.983	40

Based on the results obtained, it can be seen that r_{count} is 0.983, so that with the rule of decision $r_{count} > r_{table}$, the question is declared reliable, whereas if $r_{count} < r_{table}$, then the question is not reliable. Based on the data in table 3, it can be obtained r_{count} (0.983)> r_{table} (0.361), so the test instrument is declared very reliable if it refers to Table 1.

Assessment of Learning Outcomes in the Cognitive Domain

The results of the cognitive domain assessment were obtained through pre-test and post-test with 40 items of multiple-choice questions. The testing was to test the control class with 30 students and the experimental class with 30 students.

In the control class, the mean pre-test score was 43.3 and the post-test mean score was 59.7. The difference in the average value between the pre-test and post-test is 16.4. Whereas in the experimental class the pre-test means the score was 48.57 and the post-test average score was 84.27. The difference in the average value between the pre-test and post-test is 35.7. This shows that the difference in the mean value of pre-test and post-test in the experimental class is greater than the control class. By using the learning gain formula on research (Hake, 2001), the learning gain results show that learning by using robotic media transporter has a higher learning gain is 0.69 compared to gain classroom learning by using PowerPoint, learning gain is 0.29.

Student Learning Outcomes in the Cognitive Domain in terms of Learning Media

H_0: student learning outcomes in the cognitive domain who learn using transporter robot-based learning media, there is no difference with students who learn using PowerPoint.

H_1: the learning outcomes of students in the cognitive domain who learn using transporter robot-based learning media, there is a significant difference compared to students who learn using PowerPoint.

The results of the analysis of student learning in the cognitive domain in terms of learning media can be seen in Table 5. Table 5 shows that the t-test value of 12,589 shows that it is greater than the t-table, which is 2.3596, which means reject H0 and accept H1. Likewise, Sig. (2-tailed) value of 0,000, this shows a significance value of 0,000 which is smaller than 0.05 (Sig <0.05), which means reject H_0 and accept H_1. Therefore, it can be concluded that the cognitive learning outcomes for students who learn using transporter robot learning media, there are significant differences compared to students who learn using PowerPoint.

Table 4. Assessment of Learning Outcomes in the Cognitive Domain

Student ID	Control Class		Individual Gain	Student ID	Experiment Class		Individual Gain
	pre-test	post-test			pre-test	post-test	

Student ID	Control Class		Individual Gain	Student ID	Experiment Class		Individual Gain
	pre-test	post-test			pre-test	post-test	
K1	48	65	0.33	E1	65	88	0.66
K2	45	63	0.33	E2	70	95	0.83
K3	40	55	0.25	E3	65	85	0.57
K4	48	65	0.33	E4	45	78	0.60
K5	33	48	0.22	E5	55	93	0.84
K6	30	55	0.36	E6	50	90	0.80
K7	48	65	0.33	E7	48	95	0.90
K8	40	55	0.25	E8	43	80	0.65
K9	48	65	0.33	E9	58	83	0.60
K10	60	78	0.45	E10	63	88	0.68
K11	45	63	0.33	E11	65	88	0.66
K12	48	63	0.29	E12	58	88	0.71
K13	48	63	0.29	E13	43	78	0.61
K14	48	63	0.29	E14	43	85	0.74
K15	35	50	0.23	E15	45	78	0.60
K16	35	50	0.23	E16	53	80	0.57
K17	43	58	0.26	E17	43	93	0.88
K18	58	78	0.48	E18	50	95	0.90
K19	45	60	0.27	E19	40	78	0.63
K20	58	78	0.48	E20	30	85	0.79
K21	45	60	0.27	E21	45	90	0.82
K22	45	60	0.27	E22	38	78	0.65
K23	45	60	0.27	E23	40	75	0.58
K24	43	58	0.26	E24	40	75	0.58
K25	38	53	0.24	E25	43	75	0.56
K26	45	60	0.27	E26	43	88	0.79
K27	35	50	0.23	E27	50	88	0.76
K28	25	45	0.27	E28	40	83	0.72
K29	40	55	0.25	E29	48	78	0.58
K30	35	50	0.23	E30	38	75	0.60
Average	43.3	59.7	0.29	Average	48.57	84.27	0.69
Learning Gain		0.29		Learning Gain		0.69	

Table 5. Results of Student Learning Hypotheses in the Cognitive Domain

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
									95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Learning Result	Equal variances assumed	0.236	0.629	-12.598	58	0.000	-24.56667	1.95000	-28.47001	-20.66332
	Equal variances not assumed			-12.598	55.001	0.000	-24.56667	1.95000	-28.47455	-20.65879

Discussion

Learning using a transporter robot can provide better cognitive knowledge because students can directly interact with the media. This is different from the PowerPoint learning media, where students tend to only pay attention to the PowerPoint learning slides in class. Robot learning media have been widely used for microcontroller learning material. According to Janis, Pang, and Wuwung (2014) that the DI-Smart AVR microcontroller ATMEGA 16 system with the C Code Vision AVR programming language can be used as a controller on a food delivery robot. Saefullah, Immaniar, and Juliansah (2014) carried out the research by making an item transfer robot controlled with an Android-based Bluetooth connection, the application sends data via Bluetooth and then executed by a microcontroller.

Using a transporter robot can make students interact directly with the learning media. This is due to students' curiosity and interest in robots. Direct interaction with transport robots or improve their cognitive understanding of the microcontroller material taught in the classroom. The results of this study are in line with research (Karaahmetoglu & Korkmaz, 2019) and (Liao, Liao, Shih, & Lin, 2016) which state that robotic learning media can improve student learning outcomes.

The results of the learning gain on learning media are higher than PowerPoint learning media. This is because students can interact directly with the transporter robot to be able to move it according to the program created by the student. This is in line with research (Chou, 2018) which states that student involvement in interacting directly with robots as a learning medium can affect student learning outcomes.

CONCLUSION

The use of transporter robots as learning media in microcontroller subjects can help students to be more interactively involved in microcontroller learning. The students' interest in using the robot transporter learning media can spur their understanding of the material given. This is indicated by the value of the gain learning in the experimental class is higher than the control class. Likewise, the students' post-test scores in the experimental class were higher than the control class. The development of the transporter robot learning media is expected to be used to train the psychomotor skills of vocational school students. This is because vocational students are more focused on mastering the skills needed in the world of work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research work was supported in funding grant by the Ministry of Education and Culture under Research agreement task KEMENDIKBUD 2020, Number B/11633/UN38.9/LK.04.00/2020.

REFERENCES

Athanasiou, L., Mikropoulos, Tassos, & Mavridis, D. (2018). Robotics Interventions for Improving Educational Outcomes - A Meta-analysis. *First International Conference, TECH-EDU 2018* (pp. 91-102). Thessaloniki, Greece: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-20954-4_7

Bacca-Cortés, B., Florián-Gaviria, B., García, S., & Rueda, S. (2017). Development of a platform for teaching basic programming using mobile robots. *Revista Facultad de*

Comment [H5]: references and citations are compiled with the Mendeley application, all of which are listed in the article

Comment [H4]: clarify the novelty of the research findings,
explain whether the impact on the development of science,
Add relevant research results to compare research results

Ingeniería, 26, 61-70. doi:10.19053/01211129.v26.n45.2017.6054

Barak, M., & Assal, M. (2016). Robotics and STEM learning: students' achievements in assignments according to the P3 Task Taxonomy—practice, problem solving, and projects. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 1-10. doi:10.1007/s107

Barker, B. S., & Ansorge, J. (2007). Robotics as Means to Increase Achievement Scores in an Informal Learning Environment. *Barker, B. S., & Ansorge, J. (2007). Robotics as Means to IncreaseJournal of Research on Technology in Education, 39*(3), 229–243.

Breuch, B., & Fislake, M. (2019). Bringing Educational Robotics into the Classroom: Methods and Applications for Teaching and Learning. In W. Lepuscitz, M. Merdan, & R. Balogh, *Robotics in education: Methods and Applications for Teaching and Learning* (pp. 110-112). Vienna, Austria: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-97085-1_11

Chou, P. N. (2018). Skill Development and Knowledge Acquisition Cultivated by Maker Education: Evidence from Arduino-based Educational Robotics. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 14*(10). doi:doi.org/10.29333/ejm

Crenshaw, T. L. (2013). Using Robots and Contract Learning to Teach Cyber-Physical Systems to Undergraduates. *IEEE Transactions on Education, 56*(1), 116-120. doi:10.1109/TE.2012.2217967

Daisy A.N Janis, D. P. (2014). Rancang Bangun Robot Pengantar Makanan. *Journal Teknik Elektro dan Komputer, 3*(1), 1-10.

Gadaleta, M., Pellicciari, M., & Berselli, G. (2019). Optimization of the energy consumption of industrial robots for automatic code generation. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 57*, 452–464. doi:10.1016/j.rcim.2018.12.020

Gorakhnath, I., & Padmanabhan, J. (2017). Educational Robotics in Teaching Learning Process. *Online International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 7*(2), 1-10.

Ha, Q. P., Yen, L., & Balaguer, C. (2019). Robotic autonomous systems for earthmoving in military applications. *Automation in Construction, 107*, 1-19. doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2019.102934

Hake, R. (2001). Lessons From the Physics-Education Reform Effort. *Conservation Ecology, 5*. doi:10.5751/ES-00286-050228

Karaahmetoglu, K., & Korkmaz, O. (2019). The effect of project-based arduino educational robot applications on students' computational thinking skills and their perception of Basic Stem skill levels. *Participatory Educational Research, 6*(2), 1-14.

Kim, C., Kim, D., Yuan, J., Hill, R., Doshi, P., & Thai, C. (2015). Robotics to Promote Elementary Education Pre-service Teachers' STEM Engagement, Learning, and Teaching. *Computers and Education, 91*, 14-31. doi:10.1016/j.compe

Liao, T.-K., Liao, C.-W., Shih, C.-L., & Lin, C.-F. (2016). An Experimental Study of Integrating LEGO Robots Instruction into the "Engine Principles and Practice" Curriculum at Automobile Departments in Vocational Schools. *International*

Journal of Information and Education Technology, *6*(10), 777-782.

McGowen, M. A., & Gary, E. D. (2014). Individual gain and engagement with mathematical understanding. *arXiv: History and Overview*, 1-14.

Noguchi, T., Kajiwara, H., Chida, K., & Inamori, S. (2017). Development of a Programming Teaching-Aid Robot with Intuitive Motion Instruction Set. *Journal of Robotics Mechatronics*, *29*(6), 980-991.

Patiño, K. P., Diego, B. C., Rodilla, V. M., Conde, M. J., & Rodríguez-Aragón, J. F. (2014). Using Robotics as a Learning Tool in Latin America and Spain. *IEEE Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologias del Aprendizaje*, *9*(4), 144-150. doi:10.1109/RITA.2014.2363009

Ratumanan, T., & Laurens, T. (2011). *Penilaian Hasil Belajar pada*. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.

Saefullah, A., Immaniar, D., & Juliansah, R. A. (2014). Robot Pemindah Barang Menggunakan Aplikasi Android Berbasis AT Mega 8. *Sistem Kontrol . CCIT Journal*, *8*, 45-56.

Sugiyono. (2013). *Metodologi penelitian kuantitatif kualitatif R&D*. Bandung: Alphabeta.

Yoshihiro, O., Honda, K., Nishioka, R., Mori, S., & Kawada, K. (2017). Robotics Programming Learning for Elementary and Junior High School Students. *Journal of robotics and mechatronics*, *27*(6), 992-998.

Zhang, ,. Y.-D., Zhang, Y., Lv, ,. Y.-D., Hou, ,. X.-X., Liu, F. Y., Jia, ,. W., & Wang, S. H. (2017). Alcoholism detection by medical robots based on Hu moment invariants and predator–prey adaptive-inertia chaotic particle swarm optimization. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, *63*, *63*, 126–138. doi:10.1016/j.compeleceng.2017.04.009

Journal of Educational Science and Technology
EST
ISSN: 2477-3840 (Online)
ISSN: 2460-1497 (Print)
sinta S2
Published by:
Program Pascasarjana
Universitas Negeri Makassar
ACCREDITATED "Rank 2" by Kemenristekdikti No. 28/E/KP/2019

#15296 Editing

Submission

Authors	Chandra Ainur Rizki, I Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto, Bambang Suprianto
Title	The Impact of Using Learning Media Robot Transporter toward Learning Outcome and Learning Gain of Students
Section	Articles
Editor	Muhammad Bakhtiar

Copyediting

COPYEDIT INSTRUCTIONS

Copyeditor None

REVIEW METADATA

		REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1.	Initial Copyedit File: None	—	—	—
2.	Author Copyedit File: None Choose File No file chosen Upload	—	—	
3.	Final Copyedit File: None	—	—	—

Copyedit Comments No Comments

Layout

Layout Editor None

Layout Version	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE	VIEWS
None	—	—	—	

Galley Format	FILE		
1. PDF VIEW PROOF	15296-43265-1-PB.PDF	2020-12-29	0

Supplementary Files	FILE
	None

Layout Comments No Comments

Proofreading

Proofreader None

REVIEW METADATA

		REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1.	Author	—	—	
2.	Proofreader	—	—	—
3.	Layout Editor	—	—	—

Proofreading Corrections No Comments PROOFING INSTRUCTIONS

Editorial Office

Journal of Educational Science and Technology
Graduate Program Universitas Negeri Makassar

Jalan Bonto Langkasa Gunungsari Baru Makassar, 90222 Kampus PPs UNM Makassar
Gedung AD Ruang 406 Lt 4, Indonesia

jurnalestunm@gmail.com | est.journal@unm.ac.id